Comparison of Success Rates and Complications of Midline and Paramedian Approaches of Spinal Anaesthesia

Aswathy Vijay V.S.¹, Radhika K.²

^{1, 2} Department of Anaesthesiology, Sree Gokulam Medical College and Research Foundation, Kerala, India.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Neuraxial blockade has a wide range of clinical applications in the field of surgery, obstetrics and even pain management. The technique of spinal anaesthesia is simple and has high success rate and hence is most commonly performed in many institutions. It can be given in two approaches midline and paramedian but is associated with technical difficulties in elderly patients due to calcified interspinous ligaments. Hence, this study was conducted to compare the efficacies of both the approaches in elderly.

METHODS

160 patients of either sex above 50 yrs. of age belonging to ASA PS I–II posted for elective surgery under spinal anaesthesia were included in this observational study and were randomly divided into 2 groups of 80 in each group. M–SAB was done by midline approach group. P-SAB was done by paramedian approach. First attempt success rates were noted. Patients were followed up for 2 days postoperatively for signs of post dural puncture headache. Data was analysed with SPSS version 20.0.

RESULTS

Age, height and weight were compared between the two groups and the difference was found to be statistically insignificant. First attempt success rates were significantly higher in paramedian group (97.5 %) compared with midline (86.3 %) spinal. Incidence of PDPH (Post-Dural-Puncture Headache) was more in midline group (6.3 %) than paramedian group (2.5 %) but the result was statistically insignificant. Haemorrhagic tap was found in 5 out of 80 patients in midline group which was statistically significant. No paraesthesia or hematoma were noted. There were no complications.

CONCLUSIONS

Paramedian approach of lumbar puncture is superior to the conventional midline approach in elderly patients with regard to first attempt success rates and other complications.

KEY WORDS

Spinal Anaesthesia, Midline Approach, Paramedian Approach, Success Rates

Corresponding Author: Aswathy Vijay V.S. Sreesailam Tc 38/1981/1, Aryasala Chalai Po, Trivandrum, Kerala, India. E-mail: aswathyvijay25@gmail.com

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2020/776

How to Cite This Article:

Vijay AVS, Radhika K. Comparison of success rates and complications of midline and paramedian approaches of spinal anaesthesia. J Evolution Med Dent Sci 2020;9(47):3538-3542, DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2020/776

Submission 26-03-2020, Peer Review 08-10-2020, Acceptance 15-10-2020, Published 23-11-2020.

Copyright © 2020 JEMDS. This is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License [Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)]

BACKGROUND

neuraxial anaesthesia greatly expands Central the anaesthesiologists armamentarium providing the alternative to general anaesthesia. This technique by blunting the neuro endocrine stress response, reduces the incidence of major perioperative complications. Another importance of subarachnoid blockade is with a small volume of drug profound sympathetic, sensory and motor blockade necessary for surgery is very well obtained. This feature along with its high success rate and simplicity makes it the most commonly preferred regional anaesthetic technique. It can be given by either median or paramedian approach.¹ For the midline approach, the desired interspace is palpated and local anaesthetic is injected into the skin and subcutaneous tissue. The spinal needle is introduced 10 - 15 degree cephalad. The needle traverses subcutaneous tissue, supraspinous ligament, interspinous ligament, ligamentum flavum, epidural space, dura mater, and subarachnoid mater and finally into the subarachnoid space.¹ But in elderly patients this procedure carries significant technical difficulties due to calcified spinal ligaments and inability to adequately flex the spine, So a paramedian approach is used for spinal anaesthesia in such situatons. Here, first spinous process is palpated at the desired level. The needle is inserted 1cm lateral to this point. Needle is then directed towards interspace medially and cephalad. The first resistance felt is usually ligamentum flavum and then a distinct pop when needle enters dura mater.² Greater is the discomfort to the patient with increase in the number of attempts and also, more are complications like spinal haematoma, injury to the neural structures and PDPH. Hence, apt approach has to be followed in different scenarios. Midline approach of spinal anaesthesia is routinely practiced whereas paramedian approach is used only when midline approach fails. Hence, this study was conducted to compare the efficacy of midline and paramedian approach of spinal anaesthesia with regards to success rate, difficulties, advantage and complications in geriatric patients.

The aim of the study was to compare the midline and paramedian approaches of spinal anaesthesia with respect to success rates and complications in patients undergoing pelvic and lower limb surgeries

Hypothesis

Paramedian approach of spinal anaesthesia is better in elderly in reducing the number of attempts and complications.

METHODS

A prospective interventional study was conducted in Sree Gokulam Medical College and Research Foundation during 2016-17, 160 patients aged > 50 yrs. who were of physical status 1 and 2 according to ASA classification³ scheduled for pelvic or lower limb surgeries under spinal anaesthesia by either midline (group M n = 80) or paramedian (group P n = 80) approach. Two groups were well matched with respect to age, height, weight, duration of surgery and gauge of the needle. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient after approving the study from Institutional Ethical Committee. First attempt success rates, paraesthesia were noted. Patients were followed up for 3 days postoperatively for signs of post dural puncture headache.

Inclusion Criteria

- American Society of Anesthesiologist ASA1 and 11; patients of age > 50 yrs. undergoing pelvic and lower limb surgeries,
- Willing for spinal
- No existing neurological diseases
- No infection at the local site
- No coagulopathies
- Less than three attempts of SA (Spinal Anaesthesia)
- Without simultaneous GA (General Anaesthesia) administration

Exclusion Criteria

- More than three attempts of spinal
- History of migraine or headache preoperatively

Sample Size

From the article 'spinal anaesthesia with midline and paramedian technique in elderly patients' published in the Indian Journal of Applied Research vol 5 issue 9 September 2015.

Midline [p1] paramedian [p2]. Where, p-value of the success rates of two approaches p1 (midline) = 68 % p2 (paramedian) = 92 % $\alpha = 1 \%$ Power (1- β) = 90 %

Sample size,

$$n = \frac{[Z1 - \alpha/2\sqrt{2P(1-P)} + Z1 - \beta\sqrt{p1(1-p1)} + p2(1-p2)]2}{[P1 - p2]2}$$

P=p1+p2

2

Substituting in the above formula sample size equals 80 in each group.

Study Variables

Quantitative

Success rates, number of PDPH incidence.

Qualitative Paraesthesiae, haemorrhagic tap.

Outcome Measurements

Success rates, PDPH incidence, first attempt success rates and other complications like paraesthesiae, haemorrhagic tap.

Study Procedure

After getting clearance from Institutional Research and Ethics Committee, patients were selected as per inclusion and

Jemds.com

exclusion criteria. Preoperative evaluation and relevant routine investigations were done. All patients kept nil per orally for 8 hrs. prior to surgery. Informed written consent for participation in the study were obtained from each patient. Patient data was recorded in the proforma. 30 mins prior to surgery all patients received 500 ml Normal Saline (NS) intravenously (preloaded) and monitoring were done with Heart Rate (HR), NIBP (Non-Invasive Blood Pressure), SpO2 peripheral capillary oxygen saturation, ECG (Electro-Cardio-Gram). Approach of technique was blinded to patients, anesthesiologists who investigated patient outcomes and postoperative ward personnel. Under strict aseptic precautions, SA was given with 25G QB needle with either median or paramedian approach in RLDP (Right Lateral Decubitus Position) at L3-L4 space. If the midline approach is used, desired interspace is palpated. Local anaesthetic 2 % lignocaine is injected into the skin and subcutaneous tissue. Then 25G QB needle is introduced which traverses the subcutaneous tissue, supraspinous ligament, interspinous ligament, ligamentum flavum, epidural space, dura mater, and subarachnoid mater and finally the subarachnoid space. If paramedian technique is used local anaesthetic is infiltrated 1 cm lateral to the inferior spinous process. The needle is then directed medially and cephalad which passes through subcutaneous fat, paraspinous muscle, ligamentum flavum, dura and finally subarachnoid space. Around 2.5 - 3.5 ml of 0.5 % (H) bupivacaine was used according to type of surgery. The number of attempts, success rates, paraesthesiae, bloody tap were observed, and patients were followed from first postoperative day by another anaesthesiologist and were asked whether they were suffering from any problem concerning anaesthesia up to 3rd day (PDPH).

Statistical Analysis

After collecting the data, it was entered in Microsoft Excel and analysed using SPSS software version 20. The necessary statistical tables were constructed along with charts and diagrams. Qualitative variables were expressed as percentages. Chi square and t test were used to compare the two groups wherever needed.

RESULTS

Demographics

Both groups were comparable in view of their age (Table 1), height (Table 2) and weight (Table 3).

Comparison of Outcome Parameters

Success Rates

Table shows that there were 100 % success rates which means lumbar puncture was successfully accomplished in 160 cases through either of approaches with single or multiple attempts.

First Attempt Success Rates

- Single attempt for a successful Lumbar Puncture (LP) was performed in 97.5 % of P group and 86.3 % of M group
- Which shows there is a significant difference in the percentage of two groups.

• Chi-square analysis yielded a p value of 0.009 which is significant at 0.01 level.

PDPH Incidence

- 2.5 % of study population complained of PDPH in P Group
- 6.3 % of study population complained of PDPH in M Group
- Chi square was test of analysis
- Which shows a P value of 0.246, which is > than 0.05 hence statistically insignificant.

Comparison of Complications

None of the patients complained of any paraesthesia or haematoma in either of the group.

Age		Paramedian			Midline		
-8-	Cor	Count Percent		C	Count Percent		
51 - 60		5	31.3		23	28.8	
61 - 70	3	8	47.5		30	37.5	
> 70	1	7	21.3		27	33.8	
Mean ± SD	64.9 ±	6.2		66.9	± 8.1		
	Table	1. Age an	nd Mode o	f Appr	oach		
Data was analysed						which is > 0.05	
Is no significant difference in the age between two patient groups							
Mode of App	roach	Mean	SD	N	t	Р	
Paramedia	an	162.9	6.9	80	0.38	0.704	
Midline		163.3	8.0	80	0.38	0.704	
	Table 2	. Height a	and Mode	of App	roach		
t test analysis of this data obtained a p value of 0.704 which shows groups were							
comparable with	regards to	height disti	ibution but	statisti	cally insign	ificant.	
Mode of App	roach	Mean	SD	N	t	Р	
Paramedia	an	70.0	8.6	80	2.49*	0.014	
Midline		66.1	10.8	80	2.49	0.014	
	Table 3	. Weight	and Mode	ofApp	proach		
t test was used to compare this data and p value obtained was 0.014 which shows							
both groups are co	omparable						
Success Rate	Para	median	N	lidline	9	χ ² Ρ	
Success fait	Count	Percent	t Count	t Pe	rcent	λ 1	
Yes	80	100.0	80	1	00.0	_	
No	0	0.0	0		0.0		
Т	able 4. Sı	uccess Ra	te and Mo	ode of A	Approach		
Table shows that successfully accor multiple attempts	nplished in						
		Par	amedian	М	lidline		
First Attempt S			ameanan			2 D	
	Juccess I	Rate	t Percent	Coun	t Percen	_{nt} χ ² P	
Succeeded with s		Rate Coun			t Percen 86.3	$\chi^2 P$	
Succeeded with a	single atter more than	npt 78	t Percent	Coun		tt χ ² P 6.78** 0.009	
Succeeded with attem	single atter more than 1pt	npt 78	t Percent 97.5 2.5	Coun 69 11	86.3 13.8	6.78** 0.009	
Succeeded with attem	single atter more than o ipt First Atte	npt 78	t Percent 97.5	Coun 69 11	86.3 13.8	6.78** 0.009	
Succeeded with r atten <i>Table 5.</i> **: - Significant at	single atter more than pt First Atte 0.01 level	Rate Coun npt 78 one 2 empt Suce	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate	Coun 69 11 and Mo	86.3 13.8 ode of Ap	6.78** 0.009 proach	
Succeeded with 1 atten <i>Table 5.</i> **: - Significant at PDPH	single atter more than pt First Atte 0.01 level Parai	Rate Coun npt 78 one 2 empt Succ median	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate	Coun 69 11 and Ma	86.3 13.8 ode of Ap	6.78** 0.009	
Succeeded with n attem <i>Table 5.</i> **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence	single atter more than hpt First Atte 0.01 level Paran Count	Rate Coun npt 78 one 2 empt Succ median Percent	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate M Count	Coun 69 11 and Ma idline Perce	86.3 13.8 ode of App	6.78** 0.009 proach	
Succeeded with n atten <i>Table 5.</i> **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes	single atter more than ppt First Atte 0.01 level Paran Count 2	Rate Coun npt 78 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate M Count 5	Coun 69 11 and Ma idline Perce 6.3	86.3 13.8 ode of Ap	6.78** 0.009 proach	
Succeeded with n attem Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No	single atter more than pt First Atte 0.01 level Paran Count 2 78	Rate Coun npt 78 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5 97.5	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate M Count	Coun 69 11 and Ma (idline Perce 6.3 93.	86.3 13.8 ode of App ent 8	6.78** 0.009 proach χ² Ρ .34 0.246	
Succeeded with n attem Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No Tabl	single atter more than first Atte 0.01 level Paran Count 2 78 de 6. Incico	kate Coun npt 78 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5 97.5 lence of F	t Percent 97.5 2.5 Cess Rate M Count 5 75 CPDH and	Coun 69 11 and Ma (idline Perca 6.3 93.4 Mode	86.3 13.8 ode of App ent 8 1 0f Approc	6.78** 0.009 proach χ² Ρ .34 0.246	
Succeeded with n attem Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No Tabl Paraesthesia	single atter more than in First Atter 0.01 level Paran Count 2 78 de 6. Incio / Par	tate Coun npt 78 one 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5 97.5 lence of P	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate 5 75 Count 5 75 COUNT 5 75	Coun 69 11 and Ma lidline Perce 6.3 93.3 93.3 Mode of lidline	86.3 13.8 ode of Ap, ent 8 1 8 1 0 of Approc	6.78** 0.009 proach χ² Ρ .34 0.246	
Succeeded with n attem Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No Table Paraesthesia Haematoma	single atter more than upt First Atte 0.01 level Paran Count 2 78 de 6. Incio / Par Count	tate Coun npt 78 one 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5 97.5 lence of P ramedian t Perce	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate 5 75 Count 5 75 COUNT	Coun 69 11 and Ma lidline 6.3 93.4 Mode a lidline t Per	86.3 13.8 ode of App ent 8 1 0 of Approc	6.78** 0.009 proach x ² P 34 0.246 ach x ² P	
Succeeded with n attem Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No Tabl Paraesthesia	single atter more than in First Atter 0.01 level Paran Count 2 78 de 6. Incio / Par	tate Coun npt 78 one 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5 97.5 lence of P	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate 5 75 Count 5 75 DDPH and 0	Coun 69 11 and M idline Perce 6.3 93.4 93.4 93.4 93.4 1 idline t Per	86.3 13.8 ode of Ap, ent 8 1 8 1 0 of Approc	6.78** 0.009 proach χ² Ρ 34 0.246 ich	
Succeeded with n attem Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No Tabl Paraesthesia Haematoma Yes No	single atter more than opt First Atter 0.01 level Paran 2 78 de 6. Incid / Par 0 0 80	kate Coun npt 78 cone 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5 97.5 dence of F ramedian t Perce 0.0 100.0	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate 5 75 Count 5 75 DDPH and 0	Coun 69 11 and M idline Perce 6.3 93.4 93.4 93.4 93.4 93.4 93.4 93.4 93	86.3 13.8 ode of App ent 8 1 8 1 0 0 Approce cent .0 0.0	6.78** 0.009 proach	
Succeeded with n atten Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No Table Paraesthesia Haematoma Yes No Table 7.	single atter more than opt First Atter 0.01 level Paran Count 2 78 le 6. Incid / Par Coun 0 80 Paresthe	kate Coun npt 78 one 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5 97.5 dence of F ramedian t Perce 0.0 100.0 2000	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate 5 75 75 75 77 75 77 75 77 75 77 77 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	Coun 69 11 and Ma fidline Perce 6.3 93.3 93.3 Mode of 10 10 10 and Ma	86.3 13.8 ode of App ent 8 1 8 1 0 0 Approce cent .0 0.0	6.78** 0.009 proach	
Succeeded with n attem Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No Table Paraesthesia Haematoma Yes No Table 7. Haemorrhagio	ringle atter more than opt First Atter 0.01 level Paran Count 2 78 le 6. Incid / Par Count 0 80 Paresthe	kate Coun npt 78 cone 2 empt Suce median Percent 2.5 97.5 dence of F ramedian t Perce 0.0 100.0 essia / Hae median	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate M Count 5 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7	Coun 69 11 and Ma fidline Perce 6.3 93.4 93.4 Mode of 10 10 10 and Ma	86.3 13.8 ode of App ent 8 1 of Approc 0 0.0 0.0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6.78** 0.009 proach χ ² P 	
Succeeded with n attem Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No Table Paraesthesia Haematoma Yes No Table 7. Haemorrhagic Tap	single atter more than opt First Atter 0.01 level Paran Count 2 78 le 6. Incito 7 Par Count 0 8 Paresthe count	kate Coun npt Coun npt 78 cone 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5 97.5 dence of F ramedian t Perce 0.0 100.0 esia / Hae median	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate 5 75 2DPH and 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Coun 69 11 and Ma idline Perce 10 and Ma lline Perce	86.3 13.8 ode of App of Approc cent .0 0.0 ode of App nt X ²	6.78** 0.009 proach	
Succeeded with n atten Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No Table Yes No Table 7. Haemorrhagic Tap Yes	ringle atter more than opt First Atter 0.01 level Paran Count 2 78 le 6. Incid / Par Count 0 80 Paresthe	kate Coun npt Coun npt 78 one 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5 97.5 dence of F amedian t Perce 0.0 100.0 sia / Hae nedian Percent 2.5	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate 5 75 2DPH and 0 mat Count 0 80 cmatoma 6 Mid Count 5	Coun 69 11 and Ma fidline Perce 6.3 93.4 93.4 Mode of 10 10 10 and Ma	86.3 13.8 ode of App ent 8 1 of Approc 0 0.0 0.0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6.78** 0.009 proach	
Succeeded with n atten Table 5. **: - Significant at PDPH Incidence Yes No Table Yes No Table 7. Haemorrhagic Tap Yes No	single atter more than opt First Atter 0.01 level Paran 2 78 le 6. Incito 7 Par Count 0 80 Paresthe : Paran Count 2 78	kate Coun npt Coun mpt 78 one 2 empt Succ median Percent 2.5 97.5 dence of F amedian t Perce 0.0 100.0 sia / Hae nedian Percent 2.5 97.5	t Percent 97.5 2.5 cess Rate 5 75 2DPH and 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Coun 69 11 and Ma idline Perce 0 10 and Ma line Percen 6.3 93.8	86.3 13.8 ode of App ent 6 6 6 7 7 7 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6.78** 0.009 proach x ² P .34 0.246 ach x ² P proach ■ P 4 0.246	

DISCUSSION

With regards to simplicity, appreciable success rates, lesser complications pre as well postoperatively, spinal anaesthesia is preferred to general anaesthesia for lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries and it is usually administered through midline approach. But when it comes to different scenarios like difficulty in flexing spine as in elderly, fractures, difficulty in palpating the spine as in obesity, paramedian approach is a better option to be attempted first. This approach which does not require flexed posture may be ideal in such situations as needle placement through para spinal muscle mass is easy. This approach offers several advantages over midline approach.⁴ With multiple attempts there are higher incidence of paraesthesia in patients with lumbar spine pathology.⁵

The results of study revealed that there was a significant first attempt success rates, 12 % more in paramedian group compared with midline approach of spinal anaesthesia.

PDPH incidence was about 4 % more in midline approach than paramedian. Incidence of haemorrhagic tap was more in midline group compared to paramedian. No other clinically significant complications were observed.

Rabinowitz et al conducted a study on 40 elderly patients aged > 75 yr. who underwent open surgical repair of a hip fracture under spinal, comparing both approaches and found that success rate was 85 % in paramedian approach as compared to 45 % in the median approach.6 Mericq o et al conducted a similar study and concluded that in patients who are elderly and with spinal deformity, paramedian approach is a safe alternative with higher success rate.7 Podder et al randomly allocated 50 patients scheduled for lower limb surgery after placing a lumbar epidural catheter in the sitting position with the back in unflexed position by either the midline or paramedian approach and concluded that with a patient sitting in neutral position, it is easier for insertion of needle in paramedian approach as compared to midline approach.8 Molina et al conducted a similar study comparing both techniques and found higher success rate with paramedian.9 Blomberg et al conducted study on 40 patients and found statistically significant difference between the two techniques in regard to repeated number of attempts and production of paresthesia.¹⁰ Leeda et al conducted study on 30 patients and found higher incidence of paraesthesia with midline approach.¹¹ Our findings are again consistent with the above studies.

A study by Haider et al on 50 patients undergoing different elective surgeries under spinal anaesthesia found a statistically significant difference in the incidence of PDPH with the two approaches and concluded that the paramedian approach using the Quincke needle reduces the incidence of PDPH significantly.¹² Paraesthesia was noted when the patient complained of a sharp pain in hips or legs while inserting the needle. In our study paraesthesia was not felt in the patients in either group.

Vascular trauma can be a complication of spinal anaesthesia. Epidural vessels are situated laterally. So, midline approach provides a relatively avascular plane. On the other hand, paramedian approach may encounter vessels leading to bloody tap. In our study the incidence of haemorrhagic tap in the paramedian group was 2.5 % which is comparable with other studies.

Limitations

The main limitations of the study were small study population and short periods of follow up. Gender as a medium of comparison for PDPH incidence was not done which could have altered the results. A large study population could have yielded more significant results.

Summary

The study was an observational study to compare the midline and paramedian approaches of spinal anaesthesia with respect to success rates and complications in patients undergoing pelvic and lower limb surgeries. It was seen that first attempt success rates were more with paramedian approach to midline approach. With paramedian approach PDPH incidence and haemorrhagic taps were less. No other clinically significant complications were observed in either of the approaches.

CONCLUSIONS

Paramedian approach of lumbar puncture is superior to the conventional midline approach in elderly patients with regard to first attempt success rates and other complications.

Data sharing statement provided by the authors is available with the full text of this article at jemds.com.

Financial or other competing interests: None.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at jemds.com.

REFERENCES

- Muranaka K, Mizutani H, Seo K, et al. A comparison between midline and paramedian approaches for combined spinal-epidural anaesthesia. Masui 2001;50(10):1085-8.
- [2] de Filho GRO, Gomes HP, da Fonseca MHZ, et al. Predictors of successful neuraxial block: a prospective study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2002;19(6):447-51.
- [3] Abouleish AE, Leib ML, Cohen NH. ASA provides examples to each ASA physical status class. ASA Monitor 2015;79:38-49.
- [4] Janik R, Dick W. Post spinal headache. Its incidence following the median and paramedian technique. Anaesthetist 1992;41(3):137-41.
- [5] Abizanda FP, Reina MA, Ruiz IF, et al. Paresthesia in various spinal anaesthesia techniques for cesarean section. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim 2007;54(9):529-36.
- [6] Rabinowitz A, Bourdet B, Minville V, et al. The paramedian technique: a superior initial approach to continuous spinal anaesthesia in elderly. Anesth Analg 2007;105(6):1855-7.
- [7] Mericq O, Colombani A, Eychenne B, et al. Paramedian lumbar puncture for spinal anaesthesia in the elderly. Cah Anesthesiol 1985;33(8):685-7.
- [8] Podder S, Kumar N, Yaddanapudi LN, et al. Paramedian lumbar epidural catheter insertion with patients in the sitting position is equally successful in the flexed and unflexed spine. Anesth Analg 2004;99(6):1829-32.

Jemds.com

- [9] Molina A, Fons J. Factors associated with lumbar puncture success. Pediatrics 2006;118(2):842-4.
- [10] Blomberg RG, Jaanivald A, Walther S. Advantages of paramedian approach for lumbar epidural analgesia with catheter technique. A clinical comparison between midline and paramedian approaches. Anaesthesia 1989;44(9):742-6.
- [11] Leeda M, Stienstra R, Arbous MS, et al. Lumbar epidural catheter insertion: the midline vs. the paramedian approach. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2005;22(11):839-42.
- [12] Haider S, Butt KJ, Aziz MA, et al. Post dural puncture headache-a comparison of midline and paramedian approaches. Biomedica 2005;21:90-2.